

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/808,221	WONG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ruth C Rodriguez	3677	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ruth C Rodriguez, PTO.

(3) _____.

(2) Sally J. Brown, applicant's representative.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

9-18

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Ms. Brown to indicate that the application was in condition for allowance. However, withdrawn claims 9-18 remain in the application. These claims need to be canceled in order to allow the case since these claims are directed to a non-elected species and there is no generic claim that covers both species. Ms. Brown agreed to cancel claims 9-18. Both parties agreed to make this change through an Examiner's amendment in order to expedite the allowance of the application. .